

Exploring constructions of sexual violence among professionals

Exploring professionals' understanding and opinions of sexual violence legislation and how it's applied in the real world.

Key details

Lead institution	Nottingham Trent University
Principal researcher(s)	Tadgh Tobin
Police region	East Midlands
Level of research	PhD
Project start date	October 2021
Date due for completion	March 2024

Research context

Sexual offences have been a common conversation topic in social, political and academic circles. This has been exacerbated by social media, particularly the #MeToo movement.

A range of evidence suggests individuals may construct unique and individualised ideas of what sexual violence is. Police officers, medical professionals and psychologists may also hold these individualised constructions. Offence-supportive beliefs, offence stereotyping and victim blaming support this notion, as they are observed among both public and professional populations.

Little research has gauged understanding and attitudes towards sexual offence legislation or how individuals construct and define sexual offences. Individualised constructions of legislation could be contributing to issues of secondary victimisation, low reporting rates and low prosecution rates. The present research aims to assess the presence and impact of individualised constructions.

Aims

- Explore how well professionals understand laws around non-consensual penetrative sex.
- Explore how well professionals' constructions of non-consensual penetrative sex align with legal definitions.
- Explore which elements of legislation are seen as important to these individuals.
- Explore which psychosocial factors may have influence over understanding, construction and attitudes.

Questions

- Do individuals know and understand laws surrounding non-consensual penetrative sex?
- How do individuals define sexual offences involving non-consensual penetrative sex?
- How do individuals construct these definitions?
- What factors can influence these constructions?

Research methodology

The research consists of a 60 to 90 minute qualitative interview held over Microsoft Teams, Zoom or Discord (participant preference). The interviews are transcribed by hand and then stored on a secure, university-run network drive. The researcher will analyse the interviews through a reflexive thematic analytical lens; looking for trends in the data that are both convergent and divergent within and between the participants.

References

- Adams-Clark AA and Chrisler JC. (2018). [‘What constitutes rape? The effect of marital status and type of sexual act on perceptions of rape scenarios’](#). Violence Against Women, 24(16), pp 1,867–1,886.
- Askanius T and Hartley J. (2019). [‘Framing gender justice’](#). Nordicom Review, 40, pp 19–36.
- Dworkin ER, Krahé B and Zinzow H. (2021). [‘The global prevalence of sexual assault: A systematic review of international research since 2010’](#). Psychology of Violence, 11(5), pp 497–508.

- Grandgenett HM and others. (2020). [‘Responding to disclosure of sexual assault: The potential impact of victimization history and rape myth acceptance’](#). Journal of Interpersonal Violence.
- Hamby S. (2017). [‘On defining violence, and why it matters’](#). Psychology of Violence, 7, pp 167–180.
- Haugen A and others. (2018). [‘What makes it rape? A lay theories approach to defining rape among college students’](#). Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 40(1), pp 18–35.
- Hills PJ and others (2020). [‘Consent, wantedness, and pleasure: Three dimensions affecting the perceived stress of and judgements of rape in sexual encounters’](#). Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 26(1), pp 171–197.
- Jaffe AE, Cero I and DiLillo, D. (2021). [‘The #MeToo movement and perceptions of sexual assault: College students’ recognition of sexual assault experiences over time’](#). Psychology of Violence 11(2), pp 209–218.
- Javid A. (2015). [‘Male rape myths: Understanding and explaining social attitudes surrounding male rape’](#). Masculinities and Social Change, 4(3), pp 270–297.
- Javid A. (2019). [‘“Can you hear me? I’m right here”: Voluntary sector’s treatment of rape victims’](#). Sexuality Research and Social Policy: A Journal of the NSRC.
- Loney-Howes R. (2018). [‘Shifting the rape script: “Coming out” online as a rape victim’](#). Frontiers, 39(2), pp 26–57.
- O’Neal EN and Hayes BE. (2020a). [‘“A rape is a rape, regardless of what the victim was doing at the time”: Detective views on how “problematic” victims affect sexual assault case processing’](#). Criminal Justice Review, 45(1), pp 26–44.
- O’Neal EN and Hayes BE. (2020b). [‘“Most \[false reports\] involve teens”: Officer attitudes toward teenage sexual assault complainants—A qualitative analysis’](#). Violence Against Women, 26(1), pp 24–45.
- Palmer JE and others (2021). [‘#MeToo for whom? Sexual assault disclosures before and after #MeToo’](#). American Journal of Criminal Justice.

PettyJohn ME and others. (2019). [‘#HowIWillChange: Engaging men and boys in the #MeToo movement’](#). Psychology of Men and Masculinities, 20(4), pp 612–622.

Reed RA and others (2020). [‘Higher rates of unacknowledged rape among men: The role of rape myth acceptance’](#). Psychology of Men and Masculinities, 21(1), pp 162–167.

Siegel JA and others. (2021). [‘Yes, \(most\) men know what rape is: A mixed-methods investigation into college men’s definitions of rape’](#). Psychology of Men and Masculinities, 22(2), pp 401–411.

Sleath E and Bull R. (2010). [‘Male rape victim and perpetrator blaming’](#). Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 25(6), pp 969–988.

Tags

- [Rape and sexual offences](#)